



CITY OF SOMERVILLE, MASSACHUSETTS
MAYOR'S OFFICE OF STRATEGIC PLANNING & COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT
JOSEPH A. CURTATONE
MAYOR

GEORGE J. PROAKIS
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PLANNING DIVISION
HISTORIC PRESERVATION

**STEP 2: DETERMINATION OF PREFERABLY PRESERVED
STAFF REPORT**

Site: 9 Hanson Avenue

Case: HPC.DMO 2021.22

Applicant: Brendon Boot

Owner: Same as applicant

Legal Ad: *Demolish principal structure*

HPC Meeting Date: November 16, 2021



The purpose of a staff report is to provide the Historic Preservation Commission (HPC) with additional information and professional assessments regarding properties that are proposed for demolition. These assessments are based on the criteria allowed for consideration by the HPC in accordance with the Demolition Review Ordinance (DRO). A Staff Report is not a determination/decision, nor does it constitute authorization in any form.

I. SUMMARY OF October 19, 2021 MEETING

At their regular public meeting on October 19th, 2021, the HPC declared the structure at 9 Hanson Avenue to be “Historically Significant”. Due to this determination, 9 Hanson Avenue moved on to the second step in the demolition review process, which calls for the HPC to determine whether or not the building should be “Preferably Preserved”. A determination of “preferably preserved” does not permanently halt demolition of a building.

II. BUILDINGS UNDER CONSIDERATION

The structure at 9 Hanson Avenue is a two-and-a-half story residential structure. Using City Directories in conjunction with historic maps we can place the year of construction around 1887.

Right: 9 Hanson Avenue



Right: Left Elevation




Right: Rear



Right: Right Elevation





Above: Context Map for 9 Hanson Avenue.

III. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Preservation Planning has not uncovered any additional information in the intervening weeks since the Historic Significance vote.

To see the historic maps and research regarding structure at 9 Hanson Avenue and a general history of the area, see the October 19, 2021, staff report on Historic Significance.

IV. DETERMINATION

The HPC must determine one of the following for the structure at 9 Hanson Avenue:

- a. That the building is Preferably Preserved.
- b. That the building is not Preferably Preserved.
- c. That the building is not Preferably Preserved *with Conditions*.

See the following section V “Findings” for guidance

(Continued on next page)

V. **FINDINGS**

The HPC needs to make determinations of whether the structure is to be preferably preserved and adopt findings.



9 Hanson Avenue

a. **Preferably Preserved**

For a determination of **preferably preserved**, the HPC must make the following finding:

- *That the demolition of the structure at **9 Hanson Avenue** would be detrimental to the architectural, cultural, political, economic, or social heritage of the City.*

If the HPC makes the above finding, the Commission must state their reasons why they take either position.

b. **Not Preferably Preserved**

If the HPC makes the above finding, the Commission must state their reasons why they take either position.

c. **Not Preferably Preserved with Conditions**

For a determination of **NOT preferably preserved with Conditions**, the HPC must make the following finding:

- *That the structure at **9 Hanson Avenue** do not meet any of the criteria to be “preferably preserved.”. The HPC may add any or all of the following as conditions to this determination:*
 - 1. photographic documentation of the building to be demolished;*
 - 2. architectural renderings of the building to be demolished;*
 - 3. identification of materials for salvage of material; and/or,*
 - 4. a plan for installation of historic or interpretive signage at or near the site.*

If the HPC makes the above finding, the HPC must state their reasons why they take this position.

VI. VOTE

When bringing the matter to a vote, the HPC must include the reasons why the structure at **9 *Hanson Avenue*** is or is not “preferably preserved” and adopt them as findings.